



ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION BOARD, 2025-26
School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bangalore

SLCU-ADR BOARD POLICY 2025-26



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	STATEMENT OF PURPOSE	2
2.	DEFINITIONS	2
3.	SCOPE AND EXTENT OF THE POLICY	3
4.	FUNCTIONS OF THE ADR BOARD.....	4
5.	COMPOSITION OF THE ADR BOARD.....	4
6.	CONDUCT OF THE CORE COMMITTEE.....	5
7.	PRIMER	5
8.	INTRODUCTORY ADR ROUNDS.....	6
9.	INTERNAL ADR RANKING ROUNDS.....	6
10.	SEMINARS, WORKSHOPS AND OTHER ADR ALLIED ACTIVITIES.....	8
11.	CHRIST MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION COMPETITION	9
12.	UTILIZATION OF THE INTERNAL ADR RANKING ROUNDS RANK.....	9
13.	ALLOTMENT OF EXTERNAL ADR EVENTS	11
14.	GENERAL RULES REGARDING EXTERNAL COMPETITIONS.....	13
15.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES.....	15
16.	PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF POLICY AND/OR RULES.....	15
17.	AMENDMENT OF POLICY	15
18.	ALLOTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIONS	16
19.	BLACKLISTING	18
20.	APPENDIX.....	19



CHAPTER I: PRELIMINARY

1. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

- 1.1. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Board (**‘ADR Board’** or **‘Board’**) is a student-run body functioning under the guidance of the Faculty Co-ordinators with an aim to imbibe holistic growth of the student body in the field of Alternative Dispute Resolution (**‘ADR’**).
- 1.2. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Policy (**‘Policy’**) consists of Rules and Regulations of the ADR Board of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) for the Academic Year 2025-26 (**‘Academic Year’**).

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. ADR Board Website – Online platform for official communications of the ADR, which can be accessed through the following Link: <https://www.adrboardslcu.com/>
- 2.2. Core Committee – Shall comprise members of the 3rd and 2nd year students who will assist the Board in conducting activities for the Academic Year. General Body shall consist of the 1st Year Students.
- 2.3. Exhausted – The rank is deemed to be ‘exhausted’ when a team or any of its members violate any of the provisions of the policy or rules made in furtherance of the policy.
- 2.4. Faculty Co-ordinators – Faculty members appointed as in-charge of activities of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Board, by the Director of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) for the Academic Year.
- 2.5. Internal ADR Ranking Rounds – Internal Mediation Ranking Rounds and the Internal Client Counselling Ranking Rounds, organized by the respective Organizing Committees, during the Academic Year.
- 2.6. Grievance – Refers to any concern, issue or dispute with respect to the conduct of the activities of the ADR Board or any of its members or any matters incidental to the same.
- 2.7. Organizing Committee – A body composed of students responsible for organizing any event of the ADR Board, as prescribed under this Code.



- 2.8. Student Convenors – The three student representatives from 4th Year who are in-charge of the activities of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Board for the Academic Year.
- 2.9. Team Rank – This is the rank held by the team as a whole consisting of two or three individual members, as the case may be, after participation in the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds.
- 2.10. Utilised – The rank is deemed to be ‘utilised’ when a team has been chosen to represent School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) at an External Competition.

3. SCOPE AND EXTENT OF THE POLICY

- 3.1. The Policy shall extend in application to members of the Student Body, Committees and Faculty Co-ordinators of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).
- 3.2. Notwithstanding any rules or regulations laid out herein, the rules and regulations of the University shall supersede the Policy, in case of conflict.
- 3.3. The Policy is drafted keeping in mind the following purposes:
 - 3.3.1 To provide a structured framework that shall facilitate and direct the ADR Board in its functioning.
 - 3.3.2 To determine the scope of powers and functions of members of the ADR Board.
 - 3.3.3 To lay down guidelines to be adhered to by members of the Student Body pertaining to ADR Activities.
 - 3.3.4 Such other purposes that may arise from time to time.
- 3.4. For any matters not provided in the Policy, the decision of the Student Convenors in consultation with the Faculty Co-ordinators will be final.



CHAPTER II: CONSTITUTION AND WORKING OF THE ADR BOARD

4. FUNCTIONS OF THE ADR BOARD

- 4.1. Encouraging student participation in activities relating to ADR by organising seminars, flagship events, workshops, certificate courses and mediation drives, as feasible from time to time.
- 4.2. Facilitating participation of members of the Student Body in External Competitions (International and National) in a timely and efficient manner, in the best interests of the Students.
- 4.3. Promotion of opportunities relating to ADR-allied activities for the Student Body to engage in.
- 4.4. Inculcating research culture and active participation in ADR Activities.

5. COMPOSITION OF THE ADR BOARD

- 5.1. The ADR Board shall comprise of Student Convenors, Faculty Co-ordinators and Core Committee Members.
- 5.2. Faculty Co-ordinators:
 - 5.2.1 The Faculty Co-ordinators of the ADR Board shall consist of Dr. Sawmya Suresh, Dr. Rita Ghial and Dr. Aswathy Madhukumar.
 - 5.2.2 The Board shall seek the approval of the Faculty Co-ordinators, HOD/Dean of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) in matters such as conducting competitions or events and includes all other decisions taken by the Board.
- 5.3. Student Convenors:
 - 5.3.1 The Student Convenors (Daya Ann Thomas, Aryan Sood and Dev Daryani for the Academic Year 2025-26), three in number, shall be elected in the beginning of the Academic Year as per rules of the University from students of the 4th Year student body.
 - 5.3.2 The Convenors shall head the Board and jointly take decisions in all matters to ensure efficient functioning of the Board.



5.4. The Core Committee Members (**‘Core Committee’**) shall comprise of the following members of the Student Body:

5.4.1 Seven Members from the 3rd Year student body.

5.4.2 Eleven Members from the 2nd Year student body. Provided that any vacancy in the above arises, breakdown of composition will be carried forward to the other classes and in no instance will the number of members exceed twenty.

5.5. General Body Members (**‘General Body’**) shall comprise of the following members of the Student Body:

5.5.1 Six Members from the 1st Year student body.

5.6. The Student Convenors, with approval of the Faculty Co-ordinators, shall appoint the members of the Committee as per the *ADR Board Core Committee Selection Policy 2025-2026*.

6. CONDUCT OF THE CORE COMMITTEE

6.1. The Core Committee Members shall work within the ambit of this Policy, solely for the ADR Board.

6.2. The Core Committee Members will at all times work under the instruction and guidance of the Faculty Co-ordinators and Student Convenors.

6.3. The Core Committee Members will be entrusted with the responsibility to actively participate in the functioning of the Board to achieve its objectives.

6.4. Faculty Co-ordinators shall have the power to terminate immediately any member of the Core Committee found to be negligent, incompetent or insubordinate in their work or those that do not comply with reasonable requests of the ADR Board. Such a student shall be liable for blacklisting.

CHAPTER III: EVENTS TO BE CONDUCTED BY THE ADR BOARD

7. PRIMER

7.1. The Primer shall be organised in the beginning of the Academic Year for the benefit of the 1st Year students to acquaint them with the nuances of ADR mechanisms, the functioning and activities of the ADR Board etc.



- 7.2. The Primer shall be organised by the Board with the aid of avid ADR enthusiasts to conduct simulation exercises to bridge the gap between theoretical and practical exposure. There shall also be a policy brief conducted by the Board in order to update the students regarding the procedure to be followed before, during and after participating in an external competition.
- 7.3. All Members of the ADR Board are to be present during the Primer.

8. INTRODUCTORY ADR ROUNDS

- 8.1. Following the conducting of the Primer, the Board shall conduct Introductory ADR Rounds for the benefit of the First-year students. This will further serve as a precedent to the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds to be conducted in the Academic Year.
- 8.2. The Introductory Rounds will take place as specified by the Board under the *Introductory ADR Rounds Rules 2025-26*.
- 8.3. Ranks will be awarded to the participants at the end of the Rounds to enable students to undertake individual assessment of their respective performances.
- 8.4. 1st Year students who have not participated in the Introductory Mediation rounds 2024-25 shall not be permitted to take part in the respective Internal Ranking Rounds held by the committee in the same year.

9. INTERNAL ADR RANKING ROUNDS

- 9.1. Subsequent to the conduct of the Introductory Rounds, the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted with the aim of determining Ranks that students may utilise to apply for External Competitions (National or International, as applicable).
- 9.2. The Ranks secured shall determine the ability of the team(s) to participate in External ADR Events and shall be valid till the declaration of results of the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds for the next Academic Year. This shall be read in conjunction with clause 12.3. Upon release of the new ranks, the ranks of the previous year shall no longer be valid.



- 9.3. Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will further increase exposure of the student body to the working of ADR Mechanisms in the legal field.
- 9.4. Organising Committee ('OC'):
- 9.4.1 The Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted by an autonomous Organising Committee under the guidance of the Faculty Co-ordinators.
 - 9.4.2 The OC shall consist of members from the student body who shall not take part in the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds.
 - 9.4.3 All decisions and functions carried out by the OC will be in accordance and consultation with the Faculty Co-ordinators of the ADR Board.
 - 9.4.4 Strict adherence to Rules prescribed by the Board is mandated, non-compliance of which, will result in strict sanction as deemed fit by the Faculty Co-ordinators in consultation with the Dean and HOD of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).
 - 9.4.5 In the event of insufficiency of OC Members during the conduct of the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds, assistance of the Core Committee Members may be sought without causing any conflict of interest.
- 9.5. The Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted as specified by the Board under the *Internal ADR Ranking Rounds Rules, 2025-2026*.
- 9.6. Re-Rounds
- 9.6.1 Re-Rounds refer to the repeat conduct of ranking round for a particular team or participant.
 - 9.6.2 The aggrieved team or participant may avail a re-round subsequent to the submission of a written application citing valid reasons for the same.
 - 9.6.3 Clause 9.6 and all its constituents are subject to the discretion of the Organising Committee and Faculty Co-ordinators, with the approval of the Dean or HOD of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).
- 9.7. The Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted with the aim of determining Ranks that students may utilise to apply for External Competitions (National or International, as applicable).



- 9.8. The Ranks secured shall determine the ability of the team(s) to participate in External ADR Events and shall be valid till the declaration of results of the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds for the next Academic Year (2025-2026). This shall be read in conjunction with clause 12.3.
- 9.9. Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will further increase exposure of the student body to the working of ADR Mechanisms in the legal field.
- 9.10. Organising Committee ('OC')
- 9.10.1 The Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted by an autonomous Organising Committee under the guidance of the Faculty Co-ordinators.
- 9.10.2 The OC shall consist of members from the student body who shall not take part in the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds.
- 9.10.3 All decisions and functions carried out by the OC will be in accordance and consultation with the Faculty Co-ordinators of the ADR Board.
- 9.10.4 Strict adherence to Rules prescribed by the Board is mandated, non-compliance of which, will result in strict sanction as deemed fit by the Faculty Co-ordinators in consultation with the Dean and HOD of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).
- 9.10.5 In the event of insufficiency of OC Members during the conduct of the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds, assistance of the Core Committee Members may be sought without causing any conflict of interest.
- 9.11. The Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will be conducted as specified by the Board under the *Internal ADR Ranking Rounds Rules 2024-25*.

10. SEMINARS, WORKSHOPS AND OTHER ADR ALLIED ACTIVITIES

- 10.1. The ADR Board shall conduct Workshops, Seminars, Guest Lectures on contemporary ADR Developments on such day(s) as the Board deems fit.
- 10.2. Promotion of ADR Activities will constitute one of the primary functions of the Board.



11. CHRIST MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION COMPETITION

- 11.1. The ADR Board shall hold a National level Mediation and Arbitration Competition, namely the CHRIST Med-Arb Competition ('CMAC') subject to the approval of the Director, Dean and HOD.
- 11.2. CMAC is the flagship annual event of the ADR Board and the 6th edition shall be conducted in the academic year 2025-2026. It shall be tentatively held in the even semester on day(s) as determined by the Board and the Faculty Co-ordinators with permission from the concerned authorities.
- 11.3. All Members of the Board are required to be present during the conducting of the event.
- 11.4. For the purposes of CMAC, an Organising Committee ('OC') may be constituted from amongst the Student Body as determined by the Student Convenors in consultation with the Faculty Co-ordinators.
- 11.5. The event will be conducted in accordance with the *CMAC Rules and Regulations*

CHAPTER IV: EXTERNAL COMPETITIONS AND ALLOTMENT

12. UTILIZATION OF THE INTERNAL ADR RANKING ROUNDS RANK

- 12.1. A team may utilise its team rank **only once** during the course of the Academic Year. The rank is deemed to be 'utilised' when a team has been chosen to represent School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) at an External Competition.
 - 12.1.1 Prior to getting approval, all participants in a team should have a minimum of 85% attendance in case of an offline competition and a minimum of 75% attendance in case of an online competition. **If the attendance of the participants is below 85%, only extra-curricular attendance claims will be considered.**
 - 12.1.2 The Special Permission Letter for attendance shortage should be verified by the class teacher of the participants, Faculty Co-ordinators of the ADR Board and the HOD. This is to be done by the participants themselves. After the aforementioned procedure is concluded and



relevant permission is received, a Core Committee member of ADR Board will assist in further documentation.

12.1.3 The ADR Board shall not provide any funds or reimburse the participants for any expenditure (accommodation, registration fee, travel etc.) incurred with respect to the event.

12.1.4 In case of an open competition, permission from the HOD or Dean and the Faculty Co-ordinators is required before participation in the event along with submission of the requisite documents shall be necessary to claim attendance post the event.

12.1.5 Open competitions shall not be regulated by the ADR Board and the documentation for these competitions shall be done by the participants themselves.

12.2. The Application for External Competitions must be sent to adrallotment@law.christuniversity.in. Inaccuracy in any of the information required for in Clause 12.2 provided in an Application for an external competition shall render the application void.

12.3. They must **mandatorily** include:

12.2.1 Name and Register Number of Team Members

12.2.2 Attendance of the Team Members (if the attendance is below the threshold as per clause 12.1.1, valid reason which will be mentioned in the Special Permission Letter as per clause 12.1.2 must be stated).

12.2.3 Rank of the Team in Internal ADR Ranking Rounds 2025-26

12.2.4 Team Code

12.2.5 Contact Details of any one Member of the Team

12.2.6 Preference in Competitions (if applicable)

12.4. The utilisation of a rank obtained for Client Counselling shall not exhaust the Rank obtained by the Team or Participant for Mediation. Each student is permitted to exhaust their ranks for each of the events (Mediation or Client Counselling) only once per semester.



Explanation - If a student exhausts his/her Mediation rank in one semester, the same student cannot exhaust their Client Counselling ranks in the same semester and vice-versa.

Illustration - If a team which has participated and secured a rank in Client Counselling and Mediation Ranking rounds, participates in an External Client Counselling Competition in the Odd semester, the team shall be barred from utilizing its Rank in Mediation to apply for a competition, until the end of the Odd semester.

12.5. All utilisations of ranks shall be subject to Clause 13 and 14.

13. ALLOTMENT OF EXTERNAL ADR EVENTS

- 13.1. Selection of teams for External Competitions shall be made periodically on the basis of invitations received by the ADR Board. This shall be via the Blog (available at <https://www.adrboardslcu.com/blog>), WhatsApp or via e-mail, as applicable in an expedient and efficient manner.
- 13.2. Requisite Permissions need to be taken from the Faculty Co-ordinators and HOD or Dean of School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University). If the dates of the competition coincide with Examinations, prior approval of the Controller of Examinations needs to be obtained.
- 13.3. The ADR Board will assist participants in getting required approvals, however the responsibility of ensuring that the requirements of the Policy are met solely lie on such participating students.
- 13.4. The Ranks obtained in the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds will determine the ability of the team to take part in Reserved External events. Preference will be given based on such ranks. The Ranks shall not apply in cases of De-reserved External events, such De-reserved External competitions shall be expressly mentioned in the ADR Blog.
- 13.5. Notwithstanding anything contained in clause 13 all the ADR Events shall be deemed to be reserved for allotment by the ADR Board. Provided certain events shall be de-reserved after consultation with the faculty Co-ordinators.
- 13.6. De-reservation



13.7. Selection of teams for De-reserved Competitions shall be made by the ADR Board. This shall be via the Blog (available at <https://www.adrboardslcu.com/blog>) and WhatsApp.

13.7.1 Applicants for de-reserved competitions shall be intimated about the time period in the Call for Applications which can be viewed in the Blog, or the WhatsApp message circulated to the students.

13.7.2 The team(s) shall be allotted based on first-come-first-served depending on the number of slots available for the said competition.

13.7.3 No member of a team applying for a de-reserved competition should have participated in either the Internal Mediation Ranking Rounds or the Internal Client Counselling Ranking Rounds conducted in the current academic year.

13.7.4 Inaccuracy in the information provided in the application for a de-reserved competition or participation of any team member in the Internal Mediation ranking rounds or the Internal Client Counselling Ranking Rounds shall render their application void.

13.7.5 Substitution of team members after allotment of a de-reserved competition shall not be permitted. Any back-outs post-allotment without a valid reason shall lead to blacklisting as per clause 19.

13.8. Revocation of Application

13.8.1 Upon allocation of a competition to a team, the team shall be provided with a period of 24 hours from the time of allotment, to communicate to the ADR Board, of its inability to take part in the allotted competition.

13.8.2 The Revocation Application shall be made to adrboard@law.christuniversity.in within the stipulated time in clause 13.8.1, fulfilment of which will not render the rank of the team exhausted.

13.8.3 Failure to comply with clause 13.8.1 and 13.8.2 will render the rank of the team exhausted on withdrawal.



- 13.9. All Allotments of External Events and Competitions will be subject to Clause 13 and 14.
- 13.10. Post-allotment documentation must commence after the all roles required by the competition are filled.
- 13.11. Negotiation Competitions that require 2 Negotiators shall be allotted based on Client-Counselling ranks. Any role of a Mediator in any competition shall be allotted based on the Mediator ranks.

14. GENERAL RULES REGARDING EXTERNAL COMPETITIONS

- 14.1. *Exhaustion of Rank* - Once a student has applied with his/her team Rank and has been successfully chosen to represent the School of Law CHRIST (Deemed to be University) at any external Competition, he/she has effectively exhausted his/her team rank as well.

Explanation - A team may utilize its rank (Either the Internal Mediation Ranks or the Internal Client Counselling Ranks) only once throughout the course of the Academic Year, or, one member of the team may utilize his/her individual rank during the course of the year and the team's rank will be deemed to be exhausted. This is to ensure fair opportunity to all and to prevent one student from participating in more than one external ADR event in one semester.

- 14.2. *No-Objection Certificate* - If one individual of the team, due to reasons such as and not limited to, prior genuine commitments; impossibility of participation; health reasons and such other legitimate reasons, is unable to take part in the allotted competition, such participant must provide a No-Objection Certificate. Provided that, only one Non-objection Certificate ('NOC') will be permitted per team, unless otherwise approved by the Faculty Co-ordinators.
- 14.3. *Open Challenger Rounds* - If the ADR Board deems it necessary, an open challenger round may be conducted, on an *ad hoc* basis with respect to sending teams representing School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).



14.3.1 This shall be conducted as and when the ADR Board receives invitations for registration for such competitions.

14.3.2 Students of the ADR Board who want to take part in the *ad hoc* rounds shall not be a part of the Organizing Committee

14.4. Miscellaneous Rules Regarding External Competitions with a Different Team Composition Requirement

14.4.1 In the event that an External Competition requires reduction in number of team members, either the decision of the team collectively or the individual speaker scores that will be released during the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds may be relied on for teams to decide as to which member(s) must represent the team. The team member willing to back out will have his rank exhausted.

Illustration – If a Mediation competition requires 2 members instead of 3 and if a Client Counselling Competition requires 1 member instead of 2, the team members may decide amongst themselves or rely on the individual speaker scores to arrive at a decision regarding the same.

Illustration – If a Mediation competition requires 2 members wherein 1 member required is a Mediator and another a Negotiator, the Mediator slot shall be allotted based on the Mediator ranks and the Negotiator slot shall be allotted based as per the decision of the team collectively or based on individual speaker scores amongst the Client Counsel pair.

14.4.2 Registration of any team for an External Competition mandating only a single team's participation from a University, or otherwise, without informing the Board of such Competition or the Board's approval, will render their Rank exhausted on account of such practice. Non-approved participation shall lead to blacklisting as per Clause 19.

14.4.3 Post Participation in a competition, the concerned team shall have to submit proof of travel (tickets), or e-mail from the organisers confirming participation or participation certificate along with the requisite documentation in order to process attendance claims.



CHAPTER V: MISCELLANEOUS

15. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- 15.1. Any student with a grievance arising out of or within the scope or mandate of the ADR Board shall either approach any of the Convenors with his/her grievance in writing along with his/her Name, Registration No. and Signature or write a mail to the ADR Board adrboard@law.christuniversity.in explaining his/her grievance with his name and Registration No. in it.
- 15.2. Such grievance should be requested in a manner as mentioned in Clause 15.1, before the ADR Board.
- 15.3. The ADR Board, acting in consultation with the Convenors and the Faculty Co-ordinators shall ensure that the grievances are best addressed to the satisfaction of all the parties concerned.
- 15.4. In case a grievance still persists, then, on the approval of the Faculty Co-ordinators, the same shall be presented to the HOD/Dean for final resolution, beyond which no further appeal shall be permitted.

16. PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF POLICY AND/OR RULES

- 16.1. Any act or conduct of student/students that is found to be in violation of this Policy or any rules made in furtherance of this policy, shall be penalised.
- 16.2. The student/students will be immediately disqualified from taking part in any of the ADR competitions and their team rank shall be terminated for the rest of the academic year as penalty mentioned in Clause 16.1.
- 16.3. The decision for disqualification shall be taken collectively by the HOD and the Faculty Co-ordinators after giving a fair hearing.

17. AMENDMENT OF POLICY

- 17.1. The ADR Board shall have the right to modify the policy or any rules made in furtherance of the policy as and when the need arises.



17.2. Any change or modification in the policy or the rules shall be done only after discussing it with the Core Committee members and after consulting the Faculty Co-ordinators.

17.3. The ADR Board shall provide a notice to the student body about any such changes in advance.

18. ALLOTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIONS

18.1. The ADR Board shall regulate participation of the Student Body in International ADR Competitions (Mediation and Client Counselling).

18.2. The allotment of these competitions shall be done on the basis of the ranks of the Internal ADR Ranking Rounds.

18.3. The type of rank, along with the number of rank to be allowed for the purpose of bidding shall be intimated along with the Invite, and shall be decided by the ADR Board in consultation with the Faculty co-ordinators.

18.4. Upon receipt of no bids, the same de-reserved procedure as per the Policy shall follow.

18.5. The list of international ADR competitions is illustrative and not exhaustive, and shall be subject to regulation by the ADR Board, which may, at its discretion, regulate additional International ADR Competitions as it deems fit. The list is in no particular order.

SL. No.	COMPETITION	NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	TYPE OF RANK TO BE UTILIZED FOR APPLICATION TO RESERVED CHALLENGER ROUNDS
1.	ICC International Commercial Mediation Competition (Paris)	2-4 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
2.	ADC – ICC International Commercial Mediation Competition (Australia)	2-4 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks



3.	IBA – VIAC CDRC Mediation & Negotiation Competition (Vienna)	Negotiator Team: 2-4 Mediator Team: 1-2	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
4.	The Negotiation Challenge (Online, Final in Paris)	3 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
5.	Warsaw Mediation Competition (Warsaw)	2-3 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
6.	Louis M. Brown Forrest S. Mosten International Client Counselling Competition	2 Participants	Client-Counselling Ranks
7.	ADR ODR International Ad Hoc International Negotiation Competition	3 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
8.	Bucerius Mediation Competition	2-4 Negotiators	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
9.	International Negotiation Competition for Law Students (INC)	2 Students Client-Counsel pair	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
10.	CPR International Mediation Competition	3-6 Students Negotiator and Mediator teams	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
11.	International Business Mediation Competition (IBMC), Amsterdam	2-4 Students Client-Counsel	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
12.	Mediate Wise – 8th International Mediation Competition	3 Students Client, Counsel, Mediator	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks
13.	IIMAC Colombo	Negotiator: 2-4 Mediator: 1-2 Arbitration: 2-4	Client-Advocate and/or Mediator Ranks

NOTE: The ADR Board reserves the right to amend the list at its discretion.



19. BLACKLISTING

- 19.1. Non-participation of any team member in any allotted reserved competition shall lead to blacklisting. This does not apply to the team members who do not participate in an ADR Competition after giving an NOC certificate.
- 19.2. Withdrawal of the team after completing the documentation procedure shall result in blacklisting. However, this does not apply to the 24 hour period provided under clause 13.8.1.
- 19.3. Any team that registers for any Reserved ADR Competition without approval of ADR Board irrespective of whether ADR Board was notified about the same shall be blacklisted.
- 19.4. Any disciplinary issue shall be dealt with by the Faculty Co-ordinators, HOD, the Dean and Director.
- 19.5. Forgery, including but not limited to, obtaining fraudulent NOC from team member(s), forging of any of the documents coming within the purview of ADR Board shall lead to blacklisting from participating in external or internal ADR Competitions for 1 year.
- 19.6. Failure to comply with the post-allotment procedure of withdrawal, and a non-participation in the allotted ADR Competition by the team or a team member shall lead to blacklisting for twelve months for Reserved ADR Competitions.
- 19.7. Any student with serious medical conditions, who is liable to be blacklisted under any of the clauses herein mentioned may be exempted from blacklisting upon the discretion of the Faculty Co-ordinators. Faculty Co-ordinators have the discretion to blacklist or exempt a team for reasons that they deem fit.
- 19.8. The blacklisting, reason for blacklisting and duration of the blacklisting shall be intimated to the student body via e-mail.



APPENDIX A: TIMELINE (TENTATIVE)

EVENTS	MONTH
Primer, 2025-26	1 st July, 2025
Introductory ADR Rounds, 2025-26 (for 1 st Years)	12 th July, 2025
Internal Mediation Ranking Rounds and Internal Client Counselling Ranking Rounds, 2025-26	Internal Mediation Ranking Rounds: 30 th July, 2025 Internal Client Counselling Ranking Rounds: 31 st July, 2025
6 th CHRIST Med-Arb Competition 2025-26	4 th 5 th 6 th February, 2026
Internal Negotiation Competition, 2025-26 (for 1 st and 2 nd Years)	Between January to March



APPENDIX B: DOCUMENTS

- **LETTER ADDRESSED TO HOD**

- **ATTENDANCE**

MEMBER 1 - %

MEMBER 2 - %

MEMBER 3 - %

- **REGISTRATION FORM OF THE COMPETITION**

- **ALLOTMENT MAIL**

- **UNDERTAKINGS BY PARTICIPANT**

- **UNDERTAKINGS BY GUARDIAN**

- **UNDERTAKING FOR ATTENDANCE**

- **REPORT**

- **NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE**

1.

2.

3.

CLASS TEACHER FACULTY (ADR BOARD) HEAD OF DEPARTMENT



APPENDIX B1: PERMISSION LETTER

Dr. Sapna S.
Head of Department and Associate Dean,
School of Law,
CHRIST (Deemed to be University),
Bangalore

Date:

Respected Ma'am,

Subject: Permission to take part in the allotted National Competition

We, Rank, have been allotted

by the ADR Board, School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) which will take place from to in online/offline mode.

The Team details are as follows,

NAME	CLASS	REGISTER NO.

Leave dates are from to

Kindly permit us to take part in the abovementioned competition. We promise to abide by the rules of the competition and the ADR policy, 2025-26. If we fail to fulfil the same, necessary action can be initiated against the team.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,



APPENDIX B2: UNDERTAKING BY PARTICIPANT

I _____, Registration No. _____
of _____ Year B.A., LL.B. (Hons.)/B.B.A., LL.B. (Hons.) program of the School of Law,
CHRIST (Deemed to be University) have been chosen to represent the School of Law
in _____ to be held in _____ (City)
from _____ to _____.

If the quality befitting the School of Law is deemed to have been not met, the
institution reserves the right to bar me from attending the competition.

I hereby agree to follow all rules and regulations of the University and behave in a
manner befitting it. I also agree to face consequences on breaking any rule or
regulation of the University.

Date:

Yours Sincerely,



APPENDIX B3: UNDERTAKING BY GUARDIAN

I _____, father/ mother/ guardian of _____
_____ bearing Registration No. _____ of _____ Year B.A., LL.B.
(Hons.)/B.B.A., LL.B. (Hons.) program of the School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be
University) have been made aware of his/her participation in _____
to be held in _____ (City) from _____ to _____.

I hereby permit him/her to represent the School of Law in the aforementioned
competition and state that he/she shall follow all rules and regulations of the
University, failing which any required action can be taken against him/her.

Date:

Yours Sincerely,



APPENDIX B4: UNDERTAKING FOR ATTENDANCE

I _____, Registration No. _____ of _____ Year B.A., LL.B. (Hons.)/B.B.A., LL.B. (Hons.) program of the School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University) have been chosen to represent the School of Law in _____ to be held in _____ (City) from _____ to _____.

I undertake that my attendance percentage as of date is _____ % and in accordance with the University requirements.

Date:

Yours Sincerely,

**APPENDIX B5: SPECIAL PERMISSION LETTER FOR ATTENDANCE
SHORTAGE**

To,

Dr. Sapna S.

Head of Department and Associate Dean,

School of Law,

CHRIST (Deemed to be University),

Bangalore

Date:

Subject: Request for special permission to participate in national competition due to shortage of attendance.

Respected Ma'am,

I, _____, (**Register Number:** _____) am writing this letter seeking your permission to represent School of Law, CHRIST at the _____ scheduled to be held from _____ to _____.

The competition was allotted to us on the _____.

My attendance as of _____ is _____ %.

However, I have the following sanctioned co-curricular attendance claims that are to be updated due to _____

DATE	NO. OF HOURS	REASON
TOTAL		(Signature of Faculty Co-ordinator, if applicable)



With the addition of my claims, my attendance is at ____ %.

My total attendance with all pending claims currently stands at ____ %.

I would like to request your kind permission to participate in _____ and guarantee that my attendance will not fall below 85% for the rest of the semester. I am keen to represent the university and understand the seriousness of my request, Ma'am. I request you to kindly consider the same. I have attached screenshots of my KP for your reference.

Warm Regards,

Note:

- ***Attach screenshot of KP reflecting Absence Details indicating claimed leaves. (only co-curricular attendance claims)***
- ***Attach acknowledgement slip of yellow forms.***
- ***ATTACH THE KP ATTENDANCE FOR THE CURRENT SEMESTER***



APPENDIX B6: NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE (OUTGOING)

The Faculty Co-ordinators,
Alternative Dispute Resolution Board,
School of Law,
CHRIST (Deemed to be University),
Bangalore

Date:

Respected Sir/Ma'am,

Subject: No Objection Certificate

We, Rank _____, Team consisting of:

NAME	CLASS	REGISTER NO.

Do not have an objection in our fellow team member's participation in any National Competition with any other Team, which he/she deems fit.

Yours sincerely,



APPENDIX B7: NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE (INGOING)

The Faculty Co-ordinators,
Alternative Dispute Resolution Board,
School of Law,
CHRIST (Deemed to be University),
Bangalore

Date:

Respected Sir/Ma'am,

Subject: No Objection Certificate

I _____, Rank _____, having been allotted the _____ by the Alternative Dispute Resolution Board, School of Law, CHRIST (Deemed to be University).

The team details are as follows,

NAME	CLASS	REGISTER NO.

I do not wish to take part in the abovementioned competition due to _____.

I hereby state that I have no objection in my team's participation in the abovementioned competition in my absence. I further state that I have no objection in the participation of an additional member, whoever the team deems fit, as a member of the team.

Yours sincerely,



APPENDIX B8: POST-COMPETITION REPORT

The Alternative Dispute Resolution Board,
School of Law,
CHRIST (Deemed to be University),
Bangalore

Date:

We, Rank _____ (Mediator) and Rank _____ (Client-Counsel), Team consisting of:

NAME	CLASS	REGISTER NO.

Participated in the _____.

(Please provide feedback and your experience on the competition)

We have won the following awards, *(if applicable)*

Regards,